

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/923,530	BERGMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Baoquoc N. To	2162	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowance

(1) Baoquoc N. To.

(3) _____

(2) Robert W. Griffith, Reg. No. 48,956.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 April 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 25 and 49

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacts applicants representative, Robert W. Griffith, Reg. No. 48,956 on 04/27/2007 to propose an amendment to further distinguish from the prior art by incorporating the language of claim 3-4 into claim 1, claims 27-28 into claim 25 and incorporating the same language into claim 49. Robert agrees with the proposed amendment and authorizes the change to be made by the Examiner Amendment. .